

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/010,167	12/10/2001	Igor B. Roninson	93,354-SS	8397		
20306 7590	20306 7590 01/28/2004			EXAMINER		
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF			KETTER, JAMES S			
300 SOUTH WACK SUITE 3200	ER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 606	506		1636			

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
				EXAMINER
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				012004
			DATE MAILE	n.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

--See attached--

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/010,167 Examiner	RONINSON ET AL.				
				Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication ann	James S. Ketter	1636				
Period fo	or Reply	moduon upp	cuis on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN misions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (0) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.13 umunication. (30) days, a reply statutory period w by will, by statute.	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
	Posnopsivo to communication(s) fil	ad an 20 Oa						
		desponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> .						
•		•—	action is non-final.					
ع)ات	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	i for allowan tice under <i>E</i>	ce except for formal matters, pro k parte Quavle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are rejected	i.						
	Claim(s) 4 and 8 is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 March 20</u>	<u>002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	b by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			, ,				
	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action cknowledgment is made of a claim fince a specific reference was included CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign large cknowledgment is made of a claim for ference was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	documents documents of the priority onal Bureau on for a list of for domesticed in the first ed in the first onguage proves	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) sentence of the specification or isional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National Stage d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment	(s)							
1) 🛚 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
		, ,						

Application/Control Number: 10/010,167

Art Unit: 1636

In view of the confusion over the claims active in the present application, and in view of the fact that the claims intended by Applicants were filed earlier than the erroneously submitted claims examined in the previous Office Action, the present action is made **non-final**, in order to give Applicants a full chance to respond to the rejections set forth below.

Claims 4 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,541,603, as follows: instant claims 1 and 2 over patented claim 1 and 2; and instant claims 3 and 5-7 over patented claim 3. An obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting

Application/Control Number: 10/010,167

Art Unit: 1636

claims are not identical, but an examined application claim not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are generic to all that is recited in the respective claims of the patent, i.e., the patented claims fall entirely within the scope of each of instant claims 1-3 and 5-7.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The instant claims are drawn to synthetic peptides that act as genetic suppressor elements. However, the starting genetic material from which the nucleic acid encoding the peptide is obtained is not specified, other than being genomic or total cDNA. The claims are not limited to DNA fragments from a particular gene or mRNA transcript, and therefore encompass peptides not related to the target genes which happen to suppress said genes. There is no theory or algorithm in the prior art that would have allowed one of skill in the art to have correlated

Application/Control Number: 10/010,167

Art Unit: 1636

reliably the structure of such a peptide with the function of suppression of the expression of the particular target. Thus, one of skill would not have known a <u>priori</u> the structures encompassed by the claim, possessing the recited functions, and as such, Applicants would not have conveyed to one of skill that they were in possession of the full scope of the claimed invention.

Certain papers related to this application, OTHER THAN OFFICIAL RESPONSES, may be submitted directly to the Examiner by facsimile transmission at (571) 273-0770. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(see 37 CFR ' 1.6(d)). (703) 872-9306 may be used without notification of the Examiner, with such faxed papers being handled in the manner of mailed responses. Applicant is encouraged to use the latter fax number unless immediate action by the Examiner is required, e.g., during discussions of claim language for allowable subject matter. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner with respect to the examination on the merits should be directed to James Ketter whose telephone number is (571) 272-0770. The Examiner normally can be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30), with alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, can be reached at (571) 272-0781.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Jsk January 20, 2004

> JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER